



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/458,139	12/09/1999	YI-MIN WANG	200730	8486
7590 02/09/2004			EXAMINER	
VLADAN M VASIJEVIC LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON			ZHEN, LI B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	12
CHICAGO, II	. 60001		DATE MAILED: 02/09/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/458,139	WANG ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Li B. Zhen	2126			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover she t	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of t period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mix statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 November 2003.				
· ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be a second se	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. Iments have been received in Expriority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	l8) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/458,139 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 – 46 are pending in the application.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 14-18, 24, 25, 27, 28 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "The Impact of Inexpensive Communication on a Commercial RPC System" (hereinafter Zimmer) in view of "Virtual Interface Architecture Specification, Revision 1.0" (hereinafter VIA).
- 5. As to claim 1, Zimmer teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method of communication (Microsoft RPC, MSRPC on Fast Messages; Section 3, p. 4) between a first object located on a first computer having a first memory location (client stub application, Section 2.2, p. 3) and a Remote Procedure Call run-time layer (MSRPC runtime, Section 2.2, p. 3), wherein the RPC run-time layer have access to an RPC buffer (buffers are preposted to message queues, first paragraph, p. 5), and a second object located on a second computer (server stub application, Section 2.2, p. 3),

Art Unit: 2126

the first and second computers connected by a network (System-area networks; Section 2.3, p. 4), accessed by the first computer through a network interface card on the first computer (FM 2.1 exploits Myrinet hardware to achieve one-way latencies; Section 2.3, p. 4), the method comprising:

calling an interface of the second object with the first object (DCOM provides remote invocations through COM interfaces, Section 2.1, p. 2); and

transmitting, by the network interface card, the first parameter pointed to by the first pointer by reading the first parameter out of the first memory location (buffers can be preposted to message queues, enabling network data to be moved directly into marshalling buffers for the RPC, avoiding both memory management overhead and data copies, first paragraph, p. 5).

6. Zimmer does not teach placing in the RPC buffer a first pointer to a first parameter and treating, in the RPC run-time layer, the first pointer as a scatter-gather entry.

However, VIA teaches (p. 12 – 13, Section 2.1.1. Virtual Interfaces) placing in the buffer (send queue) a copy of a first pointer (Descriptor is a data structure that contains all of the information that the VI Provider needs to process the request, such as pointers to the data buffers) to a first parameter (data stored in the data buffers), the network interface card transmitting the first parameter pointed to by the first pointer by reading the first parameter out of the first memory location (VI NIC directly performs data transfer functions), and treating the first pointer as a scatter-gather entry (p. 30, Section 6.1.1.1. Scatter-Gather Considerations).

Art Unit: 2126

- 7. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art to apply the teaching of placing in the buffer a copy of a first pointer to a first parameter, transmitting the first parameter by the network interface card as taught by VIA to the invention of Zimmer because it would avoid intermediate copies of the data and bypasses operating system to achieve low latency, high bandwidth data transfer.
- 8. As to claim 24, this is a product claim that corresponds to method claim 1; note the rejection of claim 1 above, which also meets this product claim.
- 9. As to claim 14, Zimmer as modified by VIA teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method of communication (Microsoft RPC, MSRPC on Fast Messages; Section 3, p. 4 of Zimmer) between a first object located on a first computer having a first memory location (client stub application, Section 2.2, p. 3 of Zimmer) and a Remote Procedure Call run-time layer (MSRPC runtime, Section 2.2, p. 3 of Zimmer), wherein the RPC run-time layer have access to an RPC buffer (buffers are preposted to message queues, first paragraph, p. 5 of Zimmer), and a second object located on a second computer (server stub application, Section 2.2, p. 3 of Zimmer), the first and second computers connected by a network (System-area networks; Section 2.3, p. 4 of Zimmer), accessed by the first computer through a network interface card on the first computer (FM 2.1 exploits Myrinet hardware to achieve one-way latencies; Section 2.3, p. 4 of Zimmer), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2126

receiving, by the network interface card, a parameter of the call from the first object (a receive queue...VI Consumers post request, in the form of Descriptors, on the Work Queues to send or receive data, p. 12 – 13, Section 2.1.1. of VIA);

receiving, by the network interface card, a parameter of the call from the first object (VI NIC directly performs data transfer functions, p. 12 – 13, Section 2.1.1. of VIA);

storing, by the RPC run-time layer (MSRPC runtime, Section 2.2, p. 3 of Zimmer), the parameter in a memory location (receive queue contains Descriptors that describe where to place incoming data, p. 10 of VIA); and

accessing, by the second object, the parameter (VI Providers asynchronously process the posted Descriptors and mark them with a status value when completed, first paragraph, p. 13 of VIA).

- 10. As to claim 37, this is a product claim that corresponds to method claim 14; note the rejection of claim 14 above, which also meets this product claim.
- 11. As to claims 2 and 25, Zimmer as modified teaches (p. 15, first paragraph of VIA) issuing a notification on the first computer after the network interface card has finished reading the first parameter out of the first memory location (the Send/Receive model of data transfer requires that the VI Consumers be notified of Descriptor completion at both ends of the transfer).

Art'Unit: 2126

12. As to claims 4 and 27, these claims are drawn to placing one or more pointers in the buffer and the network interface card transmitting the parameters that the pointers point to. Zimmer as modified teaches the buffer (send queue, p. 10 of VIA) contains one or more pointers (Descriptors that describe the data to be transmitted, p. 10 of VIA) and asynchronously processing the posted Descriptors (p. 13 of VIA). As to RPC buffer and scatter-gather entry, see the rejection to claim 1 above.

Page 6

- 13. As to claims 5 and 28, these claims are drawn to issuing a notification on the sending computer each time the network interface card has finished reading a parameter. Zimmer as modified teaches (p. 15, first paragraph of VIA) the Send/Receive model of data transfer requires that the VI Consumers be notified of Descriptor completion at both ends of the transfer.
- 14. As to claims 15, 16, 38, and 39, Zimmer teaches that the memory location is the RPC buffer (marshalling buffers for the RPC) and accessing the parameter is performed in the RPC buffer (buffers can be preposted to message queues, enabling network data to be moved directly into marshalling buffers for the RPC, first paragraph, p. 5 of Zimmer).
- 15. As to claims 17, 18, 40, and 41, Zimmer as modified teaches the memory location is the memory storage location (physical memory) and accessing the parameter

Application/Control Number: 09/458,139 Page 7

Art Unit: 2126

in the memory storage location (locking the pages of a virtually contiguous memory region into physical memory, Section 2.2, p. 14 of VIA).

- 16. Claims 3, 6, 7, 26, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer as modified by VIA further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,131,126 to Kougiouris.
- 17. As to claims 3, 6, 7, 26, 29, 30, Zimmer as modified teaches reclaiming memory (reuse registered memory buffers, Section 2.2, p. 14 of VIA) but does not specify reclaiming the memory location after receiving the notification.
- 18. However, Kougiouris teaches (column 2, lines 28 45) a method in a computer system for inter-process communication that reclaims a memory location after data transmission (the first buffer is deallocated upon receipt of the communication).
- 19. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of reclaiming a memory location after data transmission as taught by Kougiouris to the invention of Zimmer as modified because this prevents large and unnecessary consumption of memory resources.
- 20. Claims 8, 13, 19, 20, 31, 36, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer as modified by VIA further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,044,409 to Lim.

Art Unit: 2126

21. As to claims 8, 13, 31, and 36, Zimmer as modified teaches a first send buffer, a first receive buffer (VI Consumer at the receiving end pre-posts a Descriptor to the receive queue, first paragraph, p. 15 of VIA), and the first receive buffer is posted to be of sufficient size to accept the second data (VI Consumer on the receiving side must post a Receive Descriptor of sufficient size before the sender's data arrives, second full paragraph, p. 15 of VIA).

Page 8

22. Zimmer as modified teaches posting a receive buffer before the data arrives but does not specify posting on the first computer a first receive buffer prior to sending a first data to the second computer.

However, Lim teaches (column 12, lines 19-25, 55-60, and 64-66) posting on the first computer a first receive buffer prior to sending a first data to the second computer (a marshal buffer appropriate for the transport selected is created in step 206, Fig. 4), the first receive buffer will receive a second data from the second computer in response to the first data (the client receives a reply from the server and encapsulates the reply in a marshal buffer 216 and 218, Fig. 4), and sending the first data to the second computer (the contents of the marshal buffer are transmitted over the selected transport to the identified end point 212, Fig. 4).

23. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of posting on the first computer a first receive buffer prior to sending a first data to the second computer as taught by Lim to the invention of Zimmer as modified because this would ensure that there is memory available to store the response data.

- 24. As to claims 19 and 42, Zimmer as modified teaches storing on the second computer a second data into a first receive buffer (VI Consumer at the receiving end pre-posts a Descriptor to the receive queue, first paragraph, p. 15 of VIA), posting a receive buffer prior to sending data to a computer (a marshal buffer appropriate for the transport selected is created in step 206, Fig. 4; column 12, lines 19 25, 55 60, and 64 66 of Lim) and the first receive buffer was posted to be of sufficient size to accept the second data (VI Consumer on the receiving side must post a Receive Descriptor of sufficient size before the sender's data arrives, second full paragraph, p. 15 of VIA).
- 25. As to claims 20 and 43, Zimmer as modified teaches (column 12, lines 55 67 of Lim) the first data from a send buffer to the first computer was sent (transmit contents of marshal buffer over selected transport to identified end point 212, Fig. 4) prior to receiving the second data form the first computer (receive reply from server 216, Fig. 4).
- 26. Claims 9 12 and 21 23, 32 35, and 44 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer, VIA and Lim further in view of Kougiouris.
- 27. As to claims 9, 11, 21, 23, 32, 34, 44, and 46, Zimmer as modified teaches cleaning up (deallocated) a send buffer (first buffer) on the computer after sending the first data and prior to receiving the second data from the second computer (the first

Art Unit: 2126

buffer is deallocated upon receipt of the communication; column 2, lines 28 – 45 of Kougiouris).

- 28. As to claims 10 and 33, Zimmer as modified teaches posting a second receive buffer (marshal buffer) prior to the first receive buffer (a marshal buffer appropriate for the transport selected is created in step 206, Fig. 4; column 12, lines 19 25, 55 60, and 64 66 of Lim).
- 29. As to claims 12, 22, 35, and 45, Zimmer as modified teaches using a second send buffer (buffers of a VI Consumer) to send data to a computer (transfer data directly between buffers of a VI Consumer and the network, Section 2.2, p. 14 of VIA).

#### Conclusion

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2126

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2126

lbz

February 4, 2004

MÉNG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100